

The Fernwood School

High Achievement with Care & Discipline for All"

Non-Examination Assessment Policy

This policy will be monitored regularly and evaluated so that it remains responsive to current issues. This will be co-ordinated by the Senior Leader i/c Examinations.

Approved:November 2023Next review:November 2024Status:Statutory



Contents

What does this policy affect?5
This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment5
Purpose of the policy
What are non-examination assessments?5
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities
The basic principles
Head of Centre
Senior Leader i/c Examinations6
Lead internal verifier (Nominated for each qualification)6
Subject head/lead6
Subject teacher
Exams Officer7
Task setting7
Subject teacher7
Issuing of tasks7
Subject teacher7
Task taking7
Supervision7
Subject teacher7
Advice and feedback
Subject teacher8
Resources
Subject teacher8
Word and time limits8
Subject teacher8
Collaboration and group work8
Subject teacher8
Authentication procedures9
Subject teacher9
Presentation of work9
Subject teacher9



Keeping materials secure9
Subject teacher9
IT Manager10
What constitutes secure storage of digital content?10
Task marking – externally assessed components10
Conduct of externally assessed work10
Subject teacher
Exams Officer10
Submission of work
Subject teacher
Exams Officer
Task marking – internally assessed components11
Marking and annotation11
Subject teacher11
Internal standardisation11
Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier11
Subject teacher11
Submission of marks and work for moderation11
Subject teacher11
Exams Officer
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks
Subject teacher
Exams Officer
External moderation - feedback13
Subject head/lead13
Exams Officer
Access arrangements13
Subject teacher
Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)13
Special consideration
Subject teacher
Exams Officer13
Malpractice14



Head of Centre (Head Teacher)14
Subject teacher14
Exams Officer14
Enquiries about results14
Senior Leader i/c Examinations14
Subject head/lead14
Subject teacher
Exams Officer15
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England15
Subject head/lead15
Subject teacher
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments



What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not;

- Set by an awarding body
- Designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body; and
- Taken under conditions specified by the awarding body including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)

is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'."

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments-</u> <u>Foreword</u>]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to;

- Sover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- Define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- Manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

[NEA – The basic principles, page 1

What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- Task setting
- 💐 Task taking
- 💐 🛛 Task marking"

[NEA – The basic principles, page 1]



Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of Centre

- Final Ensures that the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internally assessed marks

Senior Leader i/c Examinations

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year
- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria

Lead internal verifier (Nominated for each qualification)

- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body



Ensures the Exams Officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

Exams Officer

Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

Task taking

Supervision

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates



Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Sesses the work of each candidate individually



Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification;
 - Ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - Signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs the Exams Officer

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping materials secure

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically



IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically.
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to enable the secure storage of digital content.

What constitutes secure storage of digital content?

It is the centre's responsibility to keep the work that candidates have submitted securely. Where work is stored electronically centres are required to restrict access to this material and to utilise appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software. An effective back-up strategy must be employed so that an up-to-date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained. Centres should consider encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it. Centres must refer to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable.

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the Exams Officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams Officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Subject teacher

Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Exams Officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work



- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Subject teacher

- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed in a timely manner to enable an internal appeal to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards

Submission of marks and work for moderation

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors



- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Exams Officer

- Ensures that marks are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation;
 - Work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - Moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - Proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams Officer

Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention



External moderation - feedback

Subject head/lead

Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

Exams Officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject teacher

Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and</u> <u>Reasonable Adjustments</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate;
 - 🕴 Is absent
 - Produces a reduced quantity of work
 - Work has been lost
- Liaises with the Exams Officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

Exams Officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special consideration</u> process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale



- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

Malpractice

Head of Centre (Head Teacher)

- Understands the responsibility to report to the relevant awarding body any suspected cases of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and</u> <u>Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u>

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and</u> <u>candidates' work</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social</u> <u>Media</u>

Exams Officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u> <u>Policies and Procedures</u> to the Head of Centre (Head Teacher)
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and</u> <u>candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the Head of Centre (Head Teacher) in investigating and reporting incidents of suspected malpractice

Enquiries about results

Senior Leader i/c Examinations

Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an enquiry about results request or not supporting an appeal following the outcome of an enquiry about results

Subject head/lead

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the Exams Officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline



Supports the Exams Officer in collecting candidate consent where required

Exams Officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services, Information and guidance for centres</u>
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Sollects candidate consent where required

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided.
- Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings



Management of issues and potential risks associated with nonexamination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting	<u>.</u>	
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	ICT Services Manager
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	Head of Subject / Heads of Faculty
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	Head of Subject / Heads of Faculty
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Head of Subject / Heads of Faculty
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification given to candidates undertaking new specification	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for new specifications Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Head of Subject / Heads of Faculty
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	Head of Subject / Heads of Faculty



		1
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from	Head of
candidates	the awarding body's specification confirms the	Subject /
	correct task will be issued to candidates	Head of
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	faculty
	remains unresolved	Exams
		Officer
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff	Head of
absence during the issuing of	extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Subject /
tasks stage		Head of
		faculty
Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	Head of
with other centre or candidate	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide	Subject /
activities	calendar	Head of
		faculty
Rooms or facilities inadequate	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms	Exams
for candidates to take tasks	and IT facilities for the start of the course	Officer
under appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities	
	insufficient for number of candidates	
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam	
	venue at the same time (exam conditions do not	
	apply)	
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the	Head of
candidates to enable work to	current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting	Subject /
be authenticated	non-examination assessments and any other specific	Head of
	instructions detailed in the awarding body's	faculty
	specification in relation to the supervision of	-
	candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and	
	responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-	
	examination assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ	Head of
malpractice prior to submitting	publication Instructions for conducting non-	Subject /
their work for assessment	examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are	Head of
	followed	faculty &
	An internal investigation and where appropriate	SLT Exams
	internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Link
Access arrangements were not	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication	SENCO &
put in place for an assessment	A guide to the special consideration process (chapter	Exams
where a candidate is approved	2), to determine the process to be followed to apply	Officer
for arrangements	for special consideration for the candidate	
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	Head of
advice and feedback not given	teachers to record all information provided to	Subject /
	·	



by subject teacher prior to	candidates before work begins as part of the centre's	Head of
starting on their work	quality assurance procedures	faculty
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed	
	records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice	
	given to candidates prior to starting on their work as	
	appropriate to the subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback	
	given prior to starting on their work	
Candidate claims no advice	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	Head of
and feedback given by subject	teachers to record all advice and feedback provided	Subject /
teacher during the task-taking	to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of	Head of
stage	the centre's quality assurance procedures	faculty
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed	
	records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback	
	given to candidates during the task-taking stage as	
	appropriate to the subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback	
	given during the task-taking stage	
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and	SLT Exam
assistance was given to	subject teacher are interviewed, and statements	link and
candidates by the subject	recorded where relevant	Exams
teacher over and above that	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all	Officer
allowed in the regulations and	assistance given	
specification	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is	
	submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference	Head of
information from published	information before work is submitted for formal	Subject /
source	assessment	Head of
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	faculty
	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to	
	ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and	Head of
references as required	re-draft the set out of references before work is	Subject /
· · ·	submitted for formal assessment	Head of
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	faculty
	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to	
	ensure continued completion	



Candidate joins the course late	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the	Head of
after formally supervised task	candidate to catch up	Subject /
taking has started		Head of
		faculty
Candidate moves to another	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what	Exams
centre during the course	can be done depending on the stage at which the	Officer
	move takes place	
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to	Head of
complete his/her non-	determine if the specification is available to a	Subject /
examination assessment(s)	candidate outside mainstream education	Head of
	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication	faculty in
	and marking are made separately for the candidate	conjunction
		with Exams
		Officer
Resources		
A candidate augments notes	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are	Head of
and resources between	collected in and kept secure between formally	Subject /
formally supervised sessions	supervised sessions	Head of
	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these	faculty
	are collected in and kept secure between formally	
	supervised sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access	
	for candidates is restricted between formally	
	supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	Head of
acknowledge sources on work	planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the	Subject /
that is submitted for	sources used, including books, websites and	Head of
assessment	audio/visual resources	faculty – in
	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the	conjunction
	work of the candidate should be marked where	with Exams
	candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources	Officer
	appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's	
	records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a	
	mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for	
	the candidate	
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has	Head of
awarding body for exceeding	been checked to determine if word or time limits are	Subject /
word or time limits	mandatory	Head of
	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are	faculty
	discouraged from exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided	
	to them on word or time limits is known and	
	understood	



Collaboration and group work		
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Head of Subject / Head of faculty in conjunction with SLT Exams Link
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	Head of Subject / Head of faculty In consultation with SLT Exams Link
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Head of Subject / Head of faculty
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	Head of Subject / Head of faculty – Checked by Exams Officer
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Head of Subject / Head of faculty
formal assessment		-



Condidates would be transfer	Description and instances in the second seco	llaad of
Candidates work between	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and	Head of
formal supervised sessions is	follow current JCQ publication Instructions for	Subject /
not securely stored	conducting non-examination assessments	Head of
	Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of	faculty
	appropriate secure storage	
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage	Head of
available to subject teacher	is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the	Subject /
	course	Head of
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	faculty
Task marking - externally asse	ssed components	
A candidate is absent on the	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if	Exams
day of the examiner visit for an	alternative assessment arrangements can be made	Officer
acceptable reason	for the candidate	
	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored	
	and a request submitted to the awarding body where	
	appropriate	
A candidate is absent on the	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance	Exams
day of the examiner visit for an	register	Officer
unacceptable reason		
Task marking - internally asses	ssed components	
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is	Head of
work	recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the	Subject /
	awarding body	Head of
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work	faculty
	produced is assessed against the assessment criteria	labarty
	and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work	
	does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark	
	of zero is submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate is unable to finish	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication	Exams
their work for unforeseen	A guide to the special consideration process (chapter	Officer
		Onicer
reason	5), to determine eligibility and the process to be	
The work of a pandidate is last	followed for shortfall in work	Head of
The work of a candidate is lost	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication	
or damaged	A guide to the special consideration process (chapter	Subject /
	5), to determine eligibility and the process to be	Head of
	followed for lost or damaged work	faculty
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ	SLT Exam
discovered	publication Instructions for conducting non-	Link
	examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are	
	followed	
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current	
	JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in	
	Examinations and Assessments are followed	
	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also	
	followed	



A teacher marks the work of	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the	SLT Exam
his/her own child	awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Link/ Exams Officer
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Exams Officer
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	SLT Exam Link/Exams Officer
A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the Exams Officer for the submission of marks Candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	Head of Subject / Head of Faculty & Exams Officer
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	SLT Exam Link
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year	SLT Exam Link



work ignored by subject	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject	
teacher	heads as deadlines approach	
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by	
	subject teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff	SLT Exam
absence during the marking period	extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	Link
Head of Faculty/ Head of	Maths - Mr A Thompson	
Subject	English - Mrs M Bennett	
	Science - Mr J Thompson	
	ADT – Mr J Barker	
	IT – Mr J Barker	
	Humanities – Mr C Foulkes	
	MFL - Ms J Hannon	
	PE - Mr L Clark	
	Drama – Mrs C Johnson-Chuter	
	Music – Mr J Crabtree	
	Examinations Officer - Mrs D Smith	
	Senior leader i/c Exams – Mr S Crandley	